


IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: David Bolen et al.  
Serial No.: 10/816,203  
Confirmation No.: 7503  
Filed: April 1, 2004  
For: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ASSOCIATING DOCUMENTS  
WITH MULTI-MEDIA DATA  
Examiner: J. H. Blackwell  
Art Unit: 2176

Certificate of Electronic Filing Under 37 CFR 1.8

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being transmitted via the Office electronic filing system in accordance with § 1.6(a)(4).

Dated: June 25, 2008

  
Amy F. Trenggall

RESPONSE TO INTERVIEW SUMMARY

Mail Stop Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:


The Examiner mailed an Interview Summary on June 6, 2008 summarizing the telephone interview with Applicant's representative Scott J. Gerwin conducted on May 28, 2008. In the Interview Summary, the Examiner indicates that agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

On June 17, 2008 Applicants submitted a response to the Final Office Action of April 28, 2007, in which Applicants summarized in greater detail the substance of this telephone interview. In Applicant's summary, Applicant indicated that agreement had been reached that claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the cited reference, as Applicant believes the Examiner indicated such during the telephone interview.

Notwithstanding this apparent misunderstanding as to whether or not the Examiner agreed that claim 1 patentably distinguishes over the reference, the Applicants maintain that the Response mailed June 17, 2008 reflects the Applicants' view that the claims distinguish over the art of record.

Dated: June 25, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

By 

Scott J. Gerwin

Registration No.: 57,866

WOLF, GREENFIELD & SACKS, P.C.

Federal Reserve Plaza

600 Atlantic Avenue

Boston, Massachusetts 02210-2206

617.646.8000